RECEIVED GENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 1 3 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Cromer))	Art Unit: 2152
Serial No.: 09/855,624)	Examiner: Refai
Filed:	May 14, 2001)	RPS919980030US2
For:	AUTOMATIC RECONFIGURATION SYSTEM FOR CHANGE IN MANAGEMENT)))	July 12, 2005 750 B STREET, Suite 3120 San Diego, CA 92101

APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Dear Sir:

This brief is submitted under 35 U.S.C. §134 and is in accordance with 37 C.F.R. Parts 1, 5, 10, 11, and 41, effective September 13, 2004 and published at 69 Fed. Reg. 155 (August 2004). This brief is further to Appellant's Notice of Appeal filed herewith.

Table of Contents

Section	<u>Title</u>	•	<u>Page</u>		
(1)	Real Party in Interest		2		
(2)	Related Appeals/Interferences		2		
(3)	Status of Claims		2		
(4)	Status of Amendments				
(5)	Concise Explanation of Subject Matter	Concise Explanation of Subject Matter in Each Independent Claim.			
. (6)	Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed	Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed			
(7)	Argument	***************************************	4		
App.A	Appealed Claims				
	Evidence Appendix	07/14/2005 TL0111 00900058	503533 69855624		
App.C	Related Proceedings Appendix	500 00 54			
- -		01 FC:1401 500.00 DA			
		02 FC:1402 509.00 DA			

1201-EAPP

વ

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2 Serial No.: 09/855,624 July 12, 2005 Page 2

PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

(1) Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is Lenovo U.S. Inc.

(2) Related Appeals/Interferences

No other appeals or interferences exist which relate to the present application or appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

Claims 10-15 are pending and finally rejected, which rejections are appealed, and claims 1-9 and 16-20 have been canceled.

(4) Status of Amendments

No amendments are outstanding.

(5) Concise Explanation of Subject Matter in Each Independent Claim, with Page and Figure Nos.

As an initial matter, it is noted that according to the Patent Office, the concise explanations under this section are for Board convenience, and do not supersede what the claims actually state, 69 Fed. Reg. 155 (August 2004), see page 49976. Accordingly, nothing in this Section should be construed as an estoppel that limits the actual claim language.

Claim 10 recites a method for providing update configuration data for a client personal computer system (104, figure 1, page 9, line 3) in a data network including a server having configuration data including an internet protocol destination address. The client personal computer system has a storage device (302, 1231-8.AFF)

(WED) JUL 13 2005 14:06/ST.14:05/No.6833031005 P FROM ROGITZ 619 338 8078

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2

Serial No.: 09/855,624

July 12, 2005

Page 3

PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

figure 3, page 12, line 7) for storing configuration data and a micro controller (402, figure 4, page 12, line

16) for receiving network signal packets from the server and for configuring the client personal computer

system with updated configuration data, including the internet protocol destination address of the server. The

method includes receiving a network signal packet sent from the server in the micro controller in the client

personal computer system, and determining that the network signal packet includes the server's internet

protocol destination address. Also, the method includes determining that the network signal packet is a match

for the client personal computer system, and responding to these steps when the packet is a match by updating

the storage device of the client personal computer system with the internet protocol destination address of the

server.

Claim 15 recites a method for providing update configuration data for a client personal computer

system (supra) in a data network including a server. The server has configuration data including an internet

protocol destination address, and the network includes at least one client personal computer system (supra)

having a storage device for storing configuration data and a micro controller for receiving network signal

packets from the server and for configuring the at least one client personal computer system with updated

configuration data. The data includes the internet protocol destination address of the server. The method

of Claim 15 includes receiving a network signal packet sent from the server in the micro controller in the

client personal computer system, and authenticating encryption of the network signal packet to authenticate

the presence of encrypted data in the network signal packet. The method further includes validating the data

authenticated in the step of authenticating the encryption of the network signal packet, determining that the

network signal packet includes the server's internet protocol destination address by determining the presence

in the network signal packet of configuration identification and configuration data for the server, and

1201-&APP

(WED) JUL 13 2005 14:07/ST. 14:05/No. 6833031005 P 5

FROM \$0GITZ 619 338 8078

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2

Serial No.: 09/855,624

July 12, 2005

Page 4

PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

determining that the network signal packet is a match for the any one of the client personal computer system.

This last step is done by first determining that the network signal packet is identified to a specific one of the

client personal computer systems, and otherwise determining as to whether the network signal packet is

identified to a plurality of client personal computer systems. The method also responds to the receiving,

determining inclusion of the server's address and determining that the packet is a match-by updating the

storage device of any identified client personal computer systems with the internet protocol destination address

of the server included in the packet.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

> Claims 10-13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Aziz (a)

et al., USPN 6,119,234.

Claims 14 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable

over Aziz et al. in view of Crowle, USPN 5,857,072.

(7) Argument

As an initial matter, it is noted that according to the Patent Office, a new ground of rejection in an

examiner's answer should be "rare", and should be levied only in response to such things as newly presented

arguments by Applicant or to address a claim that the examiner previously failed to address, 69 Fed. Reg.

155 (August 2004), see, e.g., pages 49963 and 49980. Furthermore, a new ground of rejection must be

approved by the Technology Center Director or designee and in any case must come accompanied with the

initials of the conferees of the appeal conference, id., page 49979.

1201-8-APP

FROM ROGITZ 619 338 8078

(WED) JUL 13 2005 14:07/ST. 14:05/No. 6833031005 P

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2

Serial No.: 09/855,624

July 12, 2005

Page 5

PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

(a) This appeal has been made necessary because the examiner refuses to recognize right answer

when told, and since the SPE signed out the last office action, this is particularly discouraging. Specifically,

the SPE who signed out the office action continues to allege that Aziz et al., col. 2, lines 52-58 teach

receiving a packet from a server at a client, when in fact this section mentions nothing about packets much

less storing a server packet at a client but instead merely teaches that the addresses of authorized clients can

be stored at a host for selectively establishing client access to protected hosts, just as Appellant's last response

said. Nevertheless, the SPE who signed out the Office Action stubbornly insists that because packets are well

known, the relied-upon section of Aziz et al. therefore inherently teaches it, a plain logical non-sequitur (to

be inherent, an element must necessarily be part of a reference, MPEP §2112, and there is no question that

communication can occur without packets). For this reason alone, the rejections under this section are

overcome. Because the SPE has vetted the last response, it would be highly inappropriate for him to

authorize reopening prosecution and thus short-circulting the appellate process, because neither the issue nor

Appellant's response, which the SPE has signed off as considering and rejecting, have materially changed.

Continuing, the rejections continue to allege that Aziz et al., col. 3, lines 38-50 teach determining

that the packet contains the server's IP address, when in fact this section simply teaches that to allow a client

to penetrate a firewall, the client needs the address of the firewall, which has not been relied on as the

claimed server, and not the address of the server itself. Even if the firewall is taken to be the claimed server,

there is no determination of anything, much less the contents of a packet, taught in the relied-on section. The

SPE responds to this exposition by alleging another non-sequitur, namely, that Aziz et al. teaches that an

administrator stores host addresses. But that is not what is being claimed. It is noteworthy that the SPE

studiously ignores mention of where, precisely, Aziz et al. discusses "determining" anything.

-1201-8.APP

(WED) JUL 13 2005 14:07/ST. 14:05/No. 6833031005 P 7

FROM ROGITZ 619 338 8078

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2

Serial No.: 09/855,624

July 12, 2005

Page 6

PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

The SPE disagrees with Appellant that Aziz et al. fails to disclose matching a packet with a client,

responding that the relied-upon sections of Aziz et al. "would need" to perform the untaught step. However,

there is no "need" for packet matching in a discussion that nowhere mentions packets but instead focuses on

secure communication and authentication, and component configuration. Essentially, the SPE admits that the

claimed feature is not taught in the applied reference but insists that the claim cannot be novel anyway

because, in the SPE's unsupported opinion, the reference "needs" to have it.

Perhaps most damning of the SPE's case is the allegation that because updating a configuration file

is taught in Aziz et al. at col. 2, lines 50-67, then the last element of Claim 10 is also taught. Nothing is

more unhinged from reality, however. Only the present invention of Claim 10 updates the storage device

of the client with the IP destination address of the server that is included in the packet when a match is

determined. Aziz et al. says nothing about updating a configuration file using a packet, much less using a

specific piece of information in the packet, much less still based on the explicitly recited test outcome of

Claim 10. The rejections under this section are overcome.

(b) Many of the same errors propagate through to the obviousness rejections. Additionally, the

SPE contradicts himself by admitting here that Aziz et al. fails to perform the claimed match, despite alleging

the opposite in the anticipation rejection. Appellant agrees with the examiner that Aziz et al. fails to teach

the claimed match, and disagrees'that Crowle can be used to fill the gap for the following reasons. Claim

15 requires one element (authenticating encryption of the network signal packet to authenticate the presence

of encrypted data in the network signal packet) that is alleged to be taught in Aziz et al., but is not, and no

citation to Aziz et al. accompanies the allegation. Further, Claim 15 recites validating the authenticated data,

1201-8,APP

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2

Serial No.: 09/855,624

July 12, 2005

Page 7

PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

but no mention is made of this element in the rejection. The SPE, having had an opportunity twice to properly consider these limitations, should either allow the claim or pass the application on to the Board, but should not in fairness reopen prosecution. Should the SPE wish to lodge a new ground of rejection the appropriate course would be to do so in the context of a new ground of rejection in his Answer, so that the course of prosecution will be brought to the attention of the Group Director, who must approve of new grounds in an Answer.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Regitz Registration No. 33,549

Attorney of Record

750 B Street, Suite 3120

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

1201-8.APP

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2 Serial No.: 09/855.624 July 12, 2005 Page 8

PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

APPENDIX A - APPEALED CLAIMS

10. A method for providing update configuration data for a client personal computer system in a data network including a server, having configuration data including an internet protocol destination address, and at least one client personal computer system having a storage device for storing configuration data and a micro controller for receiving network signal packets from the server and for configuring the client personal computer system with updated configuration data, including the internet protocol destination address of the server, comprising the steps of:

receiving a network signal packet sent from the server in the micro controller in the at least one client personal computer system;

determining that the network signal packet includes the server's internet protocol destination

determining that the network signal packet is a match for the any one of the at least one client personal computer system; and

responding to the receiving, determining inclusion of the server's address and determining that the packet is a match by updating the storage device of the any one of the at least one client personal computer system with the included internet protocol destination address of the server included in the packet.

- 11. The method as defined in Claim 10, wherein, after the step of receiving the network signal packet, there is a step of authenticating the encryption of the network signal packet to authenticate the presence of encrypted data in the network signal packet.
- 12. The method as defined in Claim 11, wherein, after the step of authenticating the encryption of the network packet, there is a step of validation of the data authenticated in the step of authenticating the encryption of the network packet.
- 13. The method as defined in Claim 12, wherein in determining that the network signal packet includes the server's internet protocol destination address, the presence in the network signal packet of configuration identification and configuration data is determined.
- 14. The method as defined in Claim 13, wherein in the step of determining whether the network signal packet is a match for any one of the at least one client personal computer system, there is a first determination as to whether the network signal packet is identified to any one of the least one client personal computer systems and a second determination as to whether the network signal packet is identified to a plurality of client personal computer systems.
- 15. A method for providing update configuration data for a client personal computer system in a data network including a server, having configuration data including an internet protocol destination address, and at least one client personal computer system having a storage device for storing configuration data and a micro controller for receiving network signal packets from the server and for configuring the at least one client

1201-BAPP

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2 Serial No.: 09/855,624 July 12, 2005 Page 9 PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

personal computer system with updated configuration data, including the internet protocol destination address of the server, comprising the steps of:

receiving a network signal packet sent from the server in the micro controller in the at least one client personal computer system;

authenticating encryption of the network signal packet to authenticate the presence of encrypted data in the network signal packet;

validating the data authenticated in the step of authenticating the encryption of the network signal packet;

determining that the network signal packet includes the server's internet protocol destination address by determining the presence in the network signal packet of configuration identification and configuration data for the server;

determining that the network signal packet is a match for the any one of the at least one client personal computer systems by first determining that the network signal packet is identified to a specific one of the at least one client personal computer systems and otherwise determining as to whether the network signal packet is identified to a plurality of client personal computer systems; and

responding to the receiving, determining inclusion of the server's address and determining that the packet is a match by updating the storage device of any identified client personal computer systems with the internet protocol destination address of the server included in the packet.

1201-E.APP

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2 Serial No.: 09/855,624 July 12, 2005

PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

July 12, 2009 Page 10

APPENDIX B - EVIDENCE

None (this sheet made necessary by 69 Fed. Reg. 155 (August 2004), page 49978.)

L201-RAPP

CASE NO.: RPS919980030US2 Serial No.: 09/855,624 July 12, 2005 Page 11 PATENT Filed: May 14, 2001

APPENDIX C - RELATED PROCEEDINGS

None (this sheet made necessary by 69 Fed. Reg. 155 (August 2004), page 49978.).

1201-8.APP